

Pacific Lamprey  
2023 Regional Implementation Plan  
*for the*  
**Lower Columbia Sub-Unit** *of the*  
Lower Columbia/Willamette  
Regional Management Unit



Submitted to the Conservation Team November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Primary Authors		Primary Editors
Jen Poirier	USFWS	
Ann Gray	USFWS	

## Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Status and Distribution of Pacific lamprey in the RMU .....	1
A.	General Description of the RMU .....	1
B.	Status of Species.....	3
	2022 Pacific Lamprey Assessment .....	3
	<i>Distribution</i> .....	3
	<i>Abundance</i> .....	3
	<i>Short-term Population Trend</i> .....	4
	Distribution and Connectivity (Passage) .....	7
C.	Threats .....	9
	Summary of Major Threats .....	9
	<i>Climate Change</i> .....	10
	<i>Lack of Awareness</i> .....	10
	<i>Dewatering &amp; flow management</i> .....	11
	<i>Stream &amp; floodplain degradation</i> .....	11
	<i>Water quality</i> .....	12
	Restoration and Research Actions .....	13
III.	Literature Cited .....	17
IV.	APPENDIX.....	21
	Appendix A. 2023 RMU Meeting Notes .....	21
	Appendix B. NatureServe Conservation Status Rank Definitions.....	26

## I. Introduction

This is the annual Regional Implementation Plan (RIP) developed for the Lower Columbia Sub-Unit of the Lower Columbia River/Willamette Regional Management Unit (Lower Columbia RMU). RIPs for the Lower Columbia RMU are revised each year as warranted, primarily based on new information provided by Lower Columbia RMU partners. This RIP is not intended to be comprehensive in the information provided, but provide an overview of the RMU and the status of Pacific Lamprey within the RMU, including the primary threats and significant restoration actions that affect this species. This report and earlier versions are available on the Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative webpage ([www.pacificlamprey.org/rmu/](http://www.pacificlamprey.org/rmu/)). The 2023 annual meeting notes are appended to this plan (Appendix A).


## II. Status and Distribution of Pacific lamprey in the RMU

### A. General Description of the RMU

The Lower Columbia RMU includes watersheds that drain into the Columbia River mainstem from Bonneville Dam at Rkm 235, west to confluence of the Columbia River with the Pacific Ocean. It is comprised of six 4<sup>th</sup> field HUCs ranging in size from 1,753–3,756 km<sup>2</sup> (Table 1). Watersheds within the Lower Columbia RMU include the Lower Columbia-Sandy, Lewis, Upper and Lower Cowlitz, Lower Columbia-Clatskanie, and Lower Columbia River (Figure 1).

**Table 1.** Drainage Size and Level III Ecoregions of the 4<sup>th</sup> Field Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) Watersheds located within the Lower Columbia RMU.

Watershed	HUC Number	Drainage Size (km <sup>2</sup> )	Level III Ecoregion(s)
Lower Columbia-Sandy	17080001	2,263	Willamette Valley, Cascades
Lewis	17080002	2,719	Puget Lowland, Willamette Valley, Cascades
Upper Cowlitz	17080004	2,654	Puget Lowland
Lower Cowlitz	17080005	3,756	Puget Lowland, Cascades
Lower Columbia-Clatskanie	17080003	2,349	Coast Range, Willamette Valley
Lower Columbia	17080006	1,753	Coast Range



**Figure 1.** Map of watersheds in the Lower Columbia RMU.

## **B. Status of Species**

### **2022 Pacific Lamprey Assessment**

Every five years the Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative (PLCI), through the RMUs, revise the Pacific Lamprey Assessment (USFWS 2018). The Assessment utilizes local stakeholder knowledge and expertise to evaluate Pacific Lamprey distribution, population demographics and threats at the 4<sup>th</sup> field HUC watershed level. This information is used to inform NatureServe, a diagnostic tool that characterizes the conservation risk of Pacific Lamprey across their historical range. Information about current Pacific lamprey distribution, population size, trends, and watershed threats were collected from stakeholders in the Lower Columbia RMU through an online Assessment questionnaire and virtual meeting held on March 15th 2022. The following is a brief summary of key findings from the 2022 Pacific Lamprey Assessment.

NatureServe conservation status ranks changed in three of six HUCs in 2022 (Table 2). Status ranks fell from Imperiled (S2) to Critically Imperiled (S1) in the Lower Columbia-Sandy, Lewis, and Lower Columbia. The decline in status ranks was generally due to an increase in the scope or severity of threats facing Pacific Lamprey in these watersheds (see Threats below).

#### *Distribution*

The Pacific Lamprey Assessment ranking of current distribution increased in all but a single HUC in 2022 (Table 2). Overall, understanding of Pacific Lamprey distribution has expanded considerably in both Oregon and Washington State tributaries due to increased awareness and sampling effort (e.g., smolt trapping, redd surveys, occupancy sampling, fish salvages). Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) recently compiled a summary of adult and juvenile lamprey data collected incidentally during various WDFW monitoring programs and projects over the years. This information helped to expand known Pacific Lamprey distribution in several southwest Washington tributaries. A compilation of all known larval and adult Pacific Lamprey occurrences in the Lower Columbia RMU are displayed in Figure 2, which is a product of the USFWS Data Clearinghouse.

#### *Abundance*

Pacific Lamprey population abundance was estimated in five HUCs using consolidated data from redd surveys conducted by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) and WDFW personnel. Both agencies record counts of adult Pacific Lamprey spawners and redds as part of annual winter steelhead spawning ground surveys. Pacific Lamprey abundance indices are considered conservative estimates, because they incorporate only a portion of potential lamprey spawning habitat, surveys are focused primarily on winter steelhead and end before the completion of lamprey spawning. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife personnel estimated the range of Pacific Lamprey abundance in the Lower Columbia-Sandy and Lower Columbia-Clatskanie (Oregon tributaries only) for years 2017-2021 using extrapolations of published information on the average number of Pacific Lamprey per redd, average peak redd counts per kilometer, multiplied by the total length of potential habitat (see Clemens et al. 2021). Pacific Lamprey abundance in southwest Washington tributaries (i.e., lower Columbia-Sandy, lower Columbia-Clatskanie, Lewis, Lower Cowlitz and Grays River) was estimated using the methods described in Clemens et al. (2021) for years 2017-2020. ODFW and WDFW abundance indices were combined in the lower Columbia-Sandy and lower Columbia-Clatskanie to produce a single estimate. Median abundance of adult Pacific Lamprey ranged from 42-288 fish in the Sandy Basin (median of mean 157 fish), 24-161 fish in the Lewis (median of mean 88 fish), 155-1048 fish in the Lower Cowlitz (median of mean 572 fish),

149-1034 fish in the Clatskanie River (median of mean 564 fish), and 31-209 fish in the Grays River (median of mean 114). Pacific Lamprey are still believed to be extirpated from the Upper Cowlitz River. The Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery Barrier Dam and Mayfield Dam effectively block access to the upper portion of the Lower Cowlitz River (above RM 49.6) and upper Cowlitz basin.


### *Short-term Population Trend*

Mainstem dam counts provide one of the only long term records of adult Pacific Lamprey numbers in the Columbia River basin. Despite data gaps and monitoring inconsistencies, counts of adult Pacific Lamprey at Bonneville Dam (rkm 235) indicate a significant downward trend in abundance over time. The 10-year median day time count of adult Pacific Lamprey prior to 1970 was over 106,000 fish (1960-1969), while the recent 10-year median is just over 30,000 fish (Columbia River DART 2022). Since 2010, the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs have collected information to estimate Pacific Lamprey abundance at Willamette Falls (see Baker and McVay 2018; Gray et al. 2021). Estimated total abundance at Willamette falls has ranged from 64,388 to 336,305 fish (average 185,825) from 2010-2017 with periodic peaks in abundance every few years. Short-term population trend which is defined as the degree of change in population size over three lamprey generations ( $\approx 36$  years), was ranked as unknown in all Lower Columbia watersheds in 2022 due to a lack of continuous long-term population trend data in the region (Table 2). Pacific Lamprey populations are believed to be declined from historical levels, but adequate information does not exist to estimate the magnitude of the decline. Although ODFW estimates provide approximately 10 years of good abundance information for the Lower Columbia-Sandy and Lower Columbia-Clatskanie, this data set is not long enough to infer population trends.

**Table 2.** Population demographic and NatureServe conservation status ranks (see Appendix B) of the 4<sup>th</sup> Field HUC watersheds located within the Lower Columbia RMU. Steelhead intrinsic potential was used as a surrogate estimate of historical lamprey range extent in areas where historical occupancy information was not available. Ranks highlighted in yellow indicate a change from the 2018 Assessment.

Watershed	HUC Number	Conservation Status Rank	Historical Occupancy (km <sup>2</sup> )	Current Occupancy (km <sup>2</sup> )	Population Size (adults)	Short-Term Trend (% decline)
Lower Columbia-Sandy	17080001	S1↓	1000-5000	100-500	50-1000	Unknown
Lewis	17080002	S1	250-1000	100-500	50-250	Unknown
Upper Cowlitz	17080004	SH	250-1000	Zero	Zero	Unknown
Lower Cowlitz	17080005	S2	1000-5000	500-2000	250-2500	Unknown
Lower Columbia-Clatskanie	17080003	S1S2	1000-5000	500-2000	250-2500	Unknown
Lower Columbia	17080006	S1↓	1000-5000	100-500	250-1000	Unknown





**Figure 2.** Current and historical known distribution for Pacific Lamprey in the Lower Columbia RMU (USFWS Data Clearinghouse 2021). Historical Pacific Lamprey distribution depicted in map was obtained from published literature, tribal accounts and state and federal agency records.



## **Distribution and Connectivity (Passage)**

Passage was ranked a moderate threat in the Lower Columbia RMU (Table 3). NatureServe Scope and Severity ranks were largely unchanged from the 2018 Assessment. Ranks declined slightly in the Lower Columbia-Clatskanie (from High to Moderate) and rose modestly (from Low to Low/Moderate) in the Lower Columbia, but changes reflect a refinement of stakeholder knowledge rather than an actual change in passage conditions.

While adult passage is not impeded by dams of the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) in the Lower Columbia River and its tributaries, lamprey in these HUCs are affected by other large hydroelectric dam including Merwin, Swift, and Yale Dams in the Lewis Basin, and Mayfield, Mossy Rock and Cowlitz Falls in the Lower and Upper Cowlitz Basins. These dams were built without fish passage and completely block upstream migration and access to hundreds of miles of spawning and rearing habitat. To compensate for loss of passage, salmon and steelhead are diverted into a collection facility where they are sorted, hauled by truck and released above dams. Downstream passage for juveniles is accomplished using floating surface collectors. It is unknown whether Pacific Lamprey have ever been collected at Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery or Merwin adult fish collection facilities. No trap-and-haul of lamprey currently takes place above these dams. Other significant passage barriers in the Lower Columbia RMU include the multi-dam complex on the Bull Run River in the Sandy basin, and Sediment Retention Structure on the North Fork Toutle River. Culverts, tide gates, and small dams/weirs are also a concern throughout the RMU.

Road crossing culverts are prevalent in the Lower Columbia RMU. Poorly designed or installed culverts may fragment aquatic habitat and impede the migration of fish. Culverts with excessive water velocity ( $>0.86$  m/s), inadequate attachment points, perched outlets, or abrupt 90 degree angles (e.g., baffles, fish ladder steps, outlet aprons), may obstruct passage of adult lamprey (Moser et al. 2002; Mesa et al. 2003; Keefer et al. 2003; Stillwater Sciences 2014; Crandall and Wittenbach 2015; LTWG 2020a). Many impassable culverts occur low in watersheds (near tributary outlets), preventing access to miles of potential habitat. Projects addressing passage barriers are on-going throughout the Lower Columbia RMU, but more effort is needed to address the passage needs of adult Pacific Lamprey and other native fish species (see LTWG 2020a). There are still a number of basins within the lower Columbia with no barrier assessments.

Tide gates are broadly distributed in tidally influenced tributaries of the Lower Columbia RMU (see <https://oregontidegates.org/tide-gate-inventory/>). Estuarine wetlands and floodplains were historically constrained by dikes and gated culverts to prevent flooding and drain land for agriculture, livestock grazing, and/or residential development. Traditional top-hinge tide gates do not allow tidal backflow and thus provide few (if any) passage opportunities for fish. Furthermore, many of the older wood and cast iron tide gates have become damaged or corroded over time and need maintenance. The Oregon Tide Gate Partnership recently completed an inventory of existing tide gates throughout the Oregon Coast and is working with stakeholders to facilitate the removal, repair or replacement of failing structures to restore more natural conditions. Although physical and ecological effects of tide gates are well documented, more research is needed to better understand how tide gates may influence the migration and passage of Pacific Lamprey (PLCI 2021).

Fish hatcheries in the lower Columbia River basin often use barrier dams and fish ladders to divert adult salmon into the hatchery during brood collection, or regulate fish passage above the hatchery. Most structures were

designed to pass salmonids, but limit or impede passage of Pacific Lampreys and other native fish due to high velocities (>5ft/sec) and significant jump heights. Additionally, reduced passage efficiency at these structures can delay migration or increase predation on adult lamprey. The USFWS in partnership with ODFW and WDFW recently conducted inspections of fishways and barrier dams at 13 salmon hatcheries in the Lower Columbia RMU using Pacific Lamprey specific passage guidelines (LTWG 2017). Many structures were found to have significant passage deficiencies and work is underway to recommend possible solutions (e.g., smooth attachment surfaces, round 90° corners, etc.) to facilitate passage of adult Pacific Lampreys (Joe Skalicky, USFWS, personal communication).

## C. Threats

### Summary of Major Threats

The following table summarizes the key threats (i.e., mean Scope & Severity  $\geq 2.50$ ) within Lower Columbia RMU tributaries as identified by RMU participants during the Pacific Lamprey Assessment revision meeting in March 2022. The highest priority threat in the Lower Columbia watersheds is Climate Change followed by Lack of Awareness, Dewatering and Flow Management, Stream and Floodplain Degradation, Water Quality and Passage.

**Table 3.** Key threats to Pacific Lamprey and their habitats in the Lower Columbia RMU, 2022.

Watershed	Climate Change		Lack of Awareness		Dewatering and Flow Management		Stream and Floodplain Degradation		Water Quality		Passage	
	Scope	Severity	Scope	Severity	Scope	Severity	Scope	Severity	Scope	Severity	Scope	Severity
<i>Sandy</i>	4	4	4	3	3	2	2.5	3	3	4	2.5	3
<i>Lewis</i>	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
<i>Upper Cowlitz</i>	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	1	1	4	4
<i>Lower Cowlitz</i>	4	4	4	3	3	4	3.5	3.5	2.5	4	3	3
<i>Clatskanie</i>	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3.5	4	3	3
<i>Lower Columbia</i>	4	4	4	3	2.5	2	3.5	3	3.5	4	2.5	2
Mean Scope & Severity	4.00		3.50		3.21		3.17		3.04		3.04	
Drainage Rank	H		H		M		M		M		M	

## Current Threats

### *Climate Change*

Climate Change was the highest ranking threat in the Lower Columbia RMU (Table 3). NatureServe Severity ranks increased (from Unknown to High) in all watersheds in 2022, and is the primary reason Conservation Status Ranks fell from Imperiled (S2) to Critically Imperiled (S1) in the Lower Columbia-Sandy, Lewis, and Lower Columbia (Table 2). Climate change is happening faster and more intensely than anticipated and the combined effects of climate change (e.g., changes to ambient temperature, precipitation, and streamflow patterns) and predicted rise in human population will likely exacerbate other threats within the RMU. Climate change is likely to alter the amount, timing, and type of precipitation with decreases in snowpack, earlier snow melt, and more winter precipitation falling as rain. This will contribute to earlier peak streamflows and lower summer baseflows. Changes in the magnitude and timing of peak streamflows combined with projected sea level rise may increase the frequency and intensity of flooding in the Lower Columbia RMU (Helaire et al. 2020; Queen et al. 2021). Warmer ambient temperatures and low summer flows may increase water temperatures to the detriment of Pacific Lamprey. Low water levels may restrict lamprey habitat availability, hinder adult migration, reduce reproductive capability, or contribute to increased mortality if incubating eggs, burrowing larvae or migrating juveniles are exposed to warm temperatures ( $>20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for an extended duration (Clemens et al. 2016). Warm water temperatures can increase vulnerability to pathogens and predation and may shift or expand the range of nonnative predatory fish, putting further stress on larval and adult lamprey (Lawrence et al. 2014). Climate change is identified as a critical threat across the range of Pacific Lamprey, but the feasibility of making tangible changes will be challenging and require large scale institutional changes. Focusing stream restoration efforts on actions that improve instream complexity and floodplain connectivity, restore tidal wetland habitats, remove unneeded impoundments, or revegetate riparian areas, can provide multiple benefits to the aquatic ecosystem (e.g., improve water quality, reduce flooding, increase channel stability, etc.) and can help make systems more resilient to climate change in the future (Justice et al. 2017).

### *Lack of Awareness*

Lack of Awareness was also ranked a high threat in the Lower Columbia RMU (Table 3). NatureServe Severity ranks rose (from Unknown to Moderate) in all watersheds in 2022. Pacific Lamprey awareness is slowly becoming more widespread among stakeholders and the public. Tribes, state and federal agencies, watershed councils and others have played a significant role in improving awareness through targeted outreach, youth education events, informational brochures and webinars. Nevertheless, it's unclear how improved awareness translates to on-the-ground actions that incorporate or benefit lamprey such as lamprey specific fish salvage, design of fish screens, passage improvements, habitat restoration, permitting (e.g., Section 404 permits), etc. For example, instream water work, whether for restoration activities or maintenance of diversions, can dewater areas or remove sediments in which larval lamprey are burrowed. Such actions without first salvaging lamprey may result in the death of hundreds to thousands of larvae. Increasing public and agency awareness about the presence of larval lamprey in the sediments, adult lamprey spawning habitats and timing during in-water work, and education on actions to minimize these impacts, could greatly decrease localized mortality and injury to

lamprey populations. For more information about how to minimize impact to native lampreys during in-water work, please consult LTWG (2020b).

### *Dewatering & flow management*

Dewatering and Flow Management was ranked a moderate threat in the Lower Columbia RMU. NatureServe Scope and Severity ranks remained unchanged in the majority of watersheds in 2022. Low seasonal streamflow and hydropower operations were identified as key issues in the region. Low flow conditions occur naturally in many watersheds during summer months (e.g., Grays River), but land use practices and consumptive water use may exacerbate conditions further. Water withdrawals for irrigation, livestock, municipal, or industrial purposes leave many watersheds in the Lower Columbia RMU dewatered or with inadequate flow during summer and fall months (e.g., Sandy River, Washougal River, East Fork Lewis River, Kalama River, Clatskanie River, Lewis and Clark River, Youngs River, Big Creek, and the South Fork Klaskanine River). Low flows can impact fish by reducing spawning and rearing habitat availability, creating low water passage barriers, or impairing water quality. The effects of climate change (i.e., elevated temperature, decreased surface water availability, altered flow regimes) and continued population growth will likely increase water demand and compound low flow conditions the future.

The mainstem Columbia River downstream from Bonneville Dam is susceptible to frequent fluctuations in discharge and water level resulting from the operation of Bonneville Dam for hydropower production and flood control. Flow regulation has significantly altered the natural flow patterns of the Columbia River (see Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB) 2010). These changes can negatively impact aquatic species that rely on environmental cues (i.e., temperature, photoperiod, flow) to trigger important developmental or behavioral events such as emergence, growth, maturation or migration. In the Columbia River basin, the spring freshet takes place an average of two weeks earlier and flow volume is reduced from historical levels as a result of reservoir management, changes in precipitation and consumptive water use (LCFRB 2010; Naik and Jay 2011). Diminished spring flows may increase the duration of fish migration, potentially increasing exposure to predators and other threats. Additionally, the shift of peak flows to earlier in the spring could result in even longer periods of low flow and warm water temperatures during summer and fall months (Naik and Jay 2011). Rapid water level fluctuations below Bonneville Dam (i.e., hydropeaking) repeatedly inundate and dewater shallow water areas, directly impacting the quantity, accessibility and suitability of spawning and rearing habitat. Larval lamprey may be especially vulnerable to dewatering events as they often rear in fine sediments along river margins and delta regions. As habitat is dewatered, larvae may be susceptible to stranding, desiccation, or predation if they emerge to find water (Mueller et al. 2015). Although impacts related to frequent, short-term dewatering events are not well understood, recent laboratory and field studies indicate that larval lamprey survival and response to dewatering events may depend on dewatering rate, duration, fish size, sediment particle size, or other variables (Leitke et al. 2015; Harris et al. 2020; Leitke et al. 2020). Dewatering due to hydropeaking also occurs below Merwin Dam on the North Fork Lewis River, but potential impacts to lamprey are unknown.

### *Stream & floodplain degradation*

Stream and Floodplain Degradation was also ranked a moderate threat in the Lower Columbia RMU. NatureServe Scope and Severity ranks rose slightly in the Lower Cowlitz (from Moderate

to Moderate/High), but remained the same in all other watersheds. Change in the Lower Cowlitz reflects an increased understanding of the magnitude of degradation in the system rather than an actual change in habitat conditions over the last five years. Channel confinement, channel manipulation, and floodplain development are the primary concerns in the Lower Columbia RMU. Human settlement and land development have greatly altered the physical habitat of tributaries in the region. In upland areas, stream cleaning, forest fires (e.g., Yacolt Burn), and historical timber harvest practices have completely deforested or altered the diversity and age structure of riparian vegetation and trees. Many watersheds are lacking mature trees that play an important role in bank stability, water quality protection, thermal cover, and input of wood into channels. Large wood can benefit streams by influencing the structural complexity of the channel (i.e., creating pools or undercut banks), increasing the deposition of fine substrate and organic matter, thereby providing important rearing habitat for juvenile salmonids and larval lamprey (Gonzalez et al. 2017). Within lowland areas, river channels have been straightened, diked and armored to protect property against flooding and erosion. Channel simplification and conversion of land for agriculture, grazing, and development (rural, urban, commercial, industrial) has reduced or eliminated a substantial amount of floodplain and wetland habitat.

The Columbia River mainstem below Bonneville Dam has been straightened and confined by major railroad and transportation corridors that run parallel to the river. Much of the shoreline is armored with riprap and connection to tributaries occurs through culverts and bridges. In the Lower Columbia River and estuary, dikes and levees have disconnected the mainstem from floodplain and estuary habitat (e.g., tidal swamp, marsh, wetlands), reducing the river to a single channel. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers conducts channel maintenance dredging in the lower Columbia River to maintain a 43-foot-deep and 600-foot-wide navigation channel used by commercial vessels to transport cargo to and from the Pacific Ocean (see <https://www.nwp.usace.army.mil/lcrchannelmaintenance/>). The impacts of channel maintenance dredging on larval lamprey in the Lower Columbia River have not been thoroughly documented. Dredging may displace, injure or kill burrowing larvae, disturb or destroy potential rearing habitat, or re-suspend contaminated sediments into the river (Maitland et al. 2015; Clemens et al. 2017; LTWG 2021). Preliminary deep water larval sampling in the Lower Columbia River downstream from the City of Skamakawa (RM 33.5) did not detect larval lamprey in the 15 quadrats surveyed (Jolley et al. 2011a), though multiple size class and species of lamprey have been observed in other areas within the Columbia River mainstem (Jolley et al. 2011b; Jolley et al. 2012). An improved understanding of lamprey habitat use and distribution within the lower Columbia River and estuary could help inform pre-dredging salvage, improve mitigation measures, and provide further insight into the extent of dredging impacts on all life stages of Pacific Lamprey (LTWG 2021).

### *Water quality*

Water quality was ranked a moderate overall threat with NatureServe Scope and Severity ranks increasing in the Lower Columbia-Sandy, Lower Cowlitz and Lower Columbia. Elevated water temperature is still the primary water quality concern in Lower Columbia tributaries. Lower and mainstem reaches regularly experience prolonged warming often starting in late spring, extending into fall. Factors contributing to excessive water temperatures generally include increased air temperature, lack of riparian cover (in response to past logging, fires and land clearing activities), stream channelization, loss of floodplain connectivity, and reduced instream flows. The impacts of warm water temperatures (e.g.,  $\geq 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) on Pacific Lamprey embryonic



development, physiology, adult migrations, reproductive capability and evolutionary pressures can be multitudinous and substantial (Clemens et al. 2016). Other water quality concerns in tributaries include low dissolved oxygen, pH extremes, and presence of bacteria (e.g., fecal coliform, *e. coli*), that may be associated with elevated water temperatures and agricultural or urban runoff.

Major water quality concerns in the Lower Columbia mainstem include elevated water temperature, low dissolved oxygen, gas supersaturation, and biological and chemical contaminants. Average water temperature below Bonneville Dam often exceeds 19°C in late June to early September (Bragg and Johnston 2016; Merz et al. 2019). High water temperatures are likely a result of warmer ambient temperatures and cumulative effects of water withdrawal and land use activities in tributary and mainstem areas. Total dissolved gas (TDG) supersaturation resulting from spill from Bonneville Dam can exceed the EPA mandated limit of 110% saturation for several months during normal and low water years (Schneider and Barko 2006). These levels may extend throughout the entire lower Columbia River. Short-term exposure to TDG levels <120% has minimal ill effects for juvenile salmonids. However, long term or repeated exposure to sublethal levels (<110%) may increase susceptibility to predation, disease, toxins, or other environmental stressors (McGrath et al. 2006). Furthermore, aquatic organisms inhabiting shallow water habitats or exposed during vulnerable life stages (e.g., incubating embryos, sac fry, or larvae) may be more sensitive to sublethal effects. The United States Geological Survey (USGS) is currently conducting a study to assess the effects of TDG supersaturation (125% and 130%) on larval lamprey. Preliminary results showed that larvae developed visible bubbles in the gut, became positively buoyant and had difficulty burrowing into sediments (as a result of increased buoyancy). Positive buoyancy could make larvae more vulnerable to predation or inhibit the fishes ability to hold station, potentially causing the fish to be swept downstream (Marty Leitke, USGS, personal communication). Industrial discharge and surface water runoff from farms, roads and urban areas are the primary source of contaminants entering the Columbia River mainstem. Toxic contaminants such as DDE, PCBs, and heavy metals settle out and accumulate in fine sediments, reaching concentrations that may be harmful to aquatic and terrestrial organisms. Toxins and heavy metals may be a particular concern for Pacific Lamprey because direct exposure in water, sediment, or through dietary intake can result in high concentrations of contaminants accumulating in fatty tissues that may compromise development, reproduction and survival (Nilsen et al. 2015; Clemens et al. 2017; Madenjian et al. 2021).

## **Restoration and Research Actions**

To date, the primary lamprey restoration activities that have occurred or are occurring within this RMU are being performed by organizations focused on salmon and steelhead recovery on both the Oregon and Washington side of the river. Many instream and floodplain habitat restoration activities have been identified in subbasin and watershed management plans (e.g., Oregon Lower Columbia River Conservation and Recovery Plan (2010), Washington Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish and Wildlife Subbasin Plan (2010), Lower Columbia River Recovery Plan for Salmon and Steelhead (2013)). The vast majority of these actions have been funded and designed for salmon recovery, but work may improve habitat conditions for lamprey as well. Current Pacific Lamprey research has focused on gaining a better understanding of distribution and

habitat use within the Columbia River mainstem and tributaries. The following lamprey research and restoration actions were initiated or recently completed by RMU partners in the Lower Columbia RMU from 2012-2022.

HUC	Threat	Action Description	Status
RMU	Population	Environmental DNA, spawning ground surveys, smolt trapping and occupancy sampling to better understand lamprey distribution.	Ongoing
RMU	Stream Degradation	Implementation of instream and floodplain habitat restoration activities and culvert removal/replacement projects where lamprey salvage efforts occurred.	Ongoing
RMU	Passage	Evaluation of adult Pacific Lamprey passage efficacy at fishways and barrier dams associated with salmon hatcheries.	Complete
RMU	Population	Distribution surveys in mainstem and principal tributaries	Ongoing
RMU	Population	Use of eDNA to monitor effectiveness of large wood placement projects and recolonization of larval lamprey following restoration	Proposed
RMU	Lack of Awareness	Consideration of lamprey when planning and implementing instream habitat restoration work (see LTW 2020b)	Ongoing
RMU	Passage	Map, assess and prioritize passage barriers in tributaries and evaluate available lamprey habitat upstream	Ongoing
RMU	Population	Adult/Juvenile Pacific Lamprey data summary for Southwest Washington tributaries	Complete
RMU	Population	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Conservation Plan for Lampreys in Oregon <a href="https://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/CRP/coastal_columbia_snake_lamprey_plan.asp">https://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/CRP/coastal_columbia_snake_lamprey_plan.asp</a>	Complete
RMU	Population	Ongoing lamprey genetics work (CRITFC)	Ongoing
Sandy	Stream Degradation	Sandy River floodplain reconnection, gravel augmentation in Bull Run River.	Complete
Sandy	Stream Degradation	Large wood augmentation, side channel reconnection in upper Sandy River.	Complete
Sandy	Stream Degradation	Evaluation of new and past restoration projects to determine if projects benefit Pacific Lamprey or may be a barrier (e.g., log weirs)	Complete
Clatskanie	Population	Conduct adult spawning ground surveys to monitor Pacific Lamprey distribution, timing, and number of redds to develop relative abundance indexes.	Ongoing

Clatskanie	Population	Deep water sampling to document distribution and habitat use of larval lamprey in Columbia River mainstem.	Complete
Clatskanie	Passage	Tide gate and culvert modification and removal projects to restore access to spawning and rearing habitat.	Ongoing
Clatskanie	Passage	Removal of 3 passage barriers that will restore 200-300 acres and increase habitat connectivity for native fish	Underway
Clatskanie	Stream Degradation	Assessment of larval lamprey use in areas of salmonid restoration vs no restoration (Abernathy Creek).	Complete
Lower Columbia	Stream Degradation	Floodplain reconnection on Lewis and Clark & junctions of Big and Little Creeks	Proposed
Lower Columbia	Stream Degradation	Whole habitat watershed restoration initiative on Grays R. will incorporate habitat needs for lamprey and other native fish	Proposed
Lower Columbia	Passage	Pilot test of acoustic telemetry array to monitor movement of juvenile lamprey	Proposed
Lower Columbia	Passage	Lamprey friendly passage improvements at 3 dams at North Fork Klaskanine Hatchery. The smallest dam was completely removed in 2020	Ongoing
Lower Columbia	Passage	Evaluation of passage constraints for lamprey at fish hatcheries in Washington State	Complete
Lower Columbia	Population	Conduct adult spawning ground surveys to monitor Pacific Lamprey distribution, timing, and number of redds to develop relative abundance indexes.	Ongoing
Lower Columbia	Population	Study looking at effects of dredging on larval lamprey occupancy and abundance at 4 different locations in lower Columbia R.	Proposed
Lower Columbia	Passage	Tide gate and culvert modification and removal projects to restore access to spawning and rearing habitat.	Ongoing
Lower Columbia	Population	Investigation of salinity tolerance and larval lamprey occurrence in tidally influenced estuarine stream.	Complete
Lower Columbia	Population	UC Santa Barbara Master's group looking at juvenile Pacific Lamprey use of Columbia River estuary and how changes in environmental conditions effect habitat for Pacific Lamprey	Complete
Lower Columbia	Passage	Formation of Oregon Tide Gate Partnership Group	Ongoing
Lower Columbia	Passage	Tide gate inventory in lower Columbia River	Complete

Columbia			
Lower Cowlitz	Stream Degradation	Restoration work implemented in Coweeman (7 miles) and SF Toutle (14 miles) watersheds have shown great results at aggrading channels and retaining both fine and coarse sediments, likely benefiting resident and anadromous lamprey.	Ongoing
Lower Cowlitz	Stream Degradation	Study to look at effects of installing beaver dam analogs on larval lamprey presence and distribution	Ongoing

### III. Literature Cited

- Baker, C. and C. McVay. 2018. Willamette Falls Lamprey Escapement Estimate, Annual Report to BPA, project number 2008-308-00. Contract # 75473. Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon, Warm Springs, Oregon. 27 pp.
- Bragg, H.M., and M.W. Johnston. 2016. Total dissolved gas and water temperature in the lower Columbia River, Oregon and Washington, water year 2015: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2015-1212, 26 p., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151212>.
- Clemens, B. J., M. A. Weeber, M. Lewis, and M. Jones. 2021. Abundance Trends for Adult Pacific Lamprey in Western Oregon (USA): Historic Declines, Recent Increases, and Relative Contributions from Coastal Rivers. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 150(6):761-776. doi:10.1002/tafs.10326.
- Clemens, B.J., R.J. Beamish, K.C. Coates, M.F. Docker, J.B. Dunham, A.E. Gray, J.E. Hess, J.C. Jolley, R.T. Lampman, B.J. McIlraith, M.L. Moser, J.G. Murauskas, D.L.G. Noakes, H.A. Schaller, C.B. Schreck, S.J. Starcevic, B. Streif, S.J. van de Wetering, J. Wade, L.A. Weitkamp, and L.A. Wyss. 2017. Conservation Challenges and Research Needs for Pacific Lamprey in the Columbia River Basin. Fisheries 42:5, 268-280.
- Clemens, B., C. Schreck, S. van de Wetering, & S. Sower. 2016. The potential roles of river environments in selecting for stream- and ocean-maturing Pacific Lamprey, *Entosphenus tridentatus* (Gairdner, 1836). pp. 299 – 322. In: A. Orlov, & R. J. Beamish (eds.) Jawless Fishes of the World. Cambridge Scholars.
- Columbia River DART (Data Access in Real Time). Columbia Basin Research, University of Washington. 2022. Adult Passage Annual Counts. Available from [http://www.cbr.washington.edu/dart/query/adult\\_annual\\_sum](http://www.cbr.washington.edu/dart/query/adult_annual_sum)
- Crandall, J.D., and E. Wittenbach. 2015. Pacific Lamprey Habitat Restoration Guide. Methow Salmon Recovery Foundation, Twisp, Washington. First edition 54 p.
- Gonzalez, R., J. Dunham, S. Lightcap, and J. McEnroe. 2017. Large Wood and In-stream Habitat for Juvenile Coho Salmon and Larval Lampreys in a Pacific Northwest Stream. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 37:4, 683-699.
- Gray, A., J. Poirier, and B. Clemens. 2021. Pacific Lamprey 2020 Regional Implementation Plan for the Lower Columbia/Willamette Regional Management Unit Willamette RMU. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon.
- Harris, J. E., J. J. Skalicky, T. L. Liedtke, L. K. Weiland, B. J. Clemens, and A. E. Gray. 2020. Effects of dewatering on behavior, distribution, and abundance of larval lampreys. River Res Applic. 2020; 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3730>.
- Helaire, L. T., S. A. Talke, D. A. Jay, and H. Chang. 2020. Present and future flood hazard in the lower Columbia River Estuary: Changing flood hazards in the Portland-Vancouver Metropolitan area. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans. 125, e2019JC015928.

- Jolley, J.C., G.S. Silver, and T.A. Whitesel. 2011a. Occurrence, detection, and habitat use of larval lamprey in Columbia River mainstem environments: The lower Columbia River. 2010 Annual Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Columbia River Fisheries Program Office, Vancouver, WA.
- Jolley, J.C., G.S. Silver, and T.A. Whitesel. 2011b. Occurrence, detection, and habitat use of larval lamprey in Columbia River mainstem environments: Bonneville reservoir and tailwater. 2010 Annual Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Columbia River Fisheries Program Office, Vancouver, WA.
- Jolley, J.C., G.S. Silver, and T.A. Whitesel. 2012. Occupancy, detection, and habitat use of larval lamprey in Columbia River mainstem environments: Bonneville tailwater and tributary mouths. 2011 Annual Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Columbia River Fisheries Program Office, Vancouver, WA.
- Justice, C., S. M. White, D. A. McCullough, D. S. Graves, M. R. Blanchard. 2017. Can stream and riparian restoration offset climate change impacts to salmon populations? *Journal of Environmental Management* 188:212-227. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.12.005.
- Keefer, M. L., W. R. Daigle, C. A. Peery, H. T. Pennington, S. R. Lee, and M. L. Moser. 2010. Testing adult Pacific lamprey performance at structural challenges in fishways. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 30: 376–385.
- LTWG (Lamprey Technical Workgroup). 2021. Monitoring and minimizing effects of dredging on lampreys. Original Version 1.0, March 2021. 11 pp + Appendix. Available online: [https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Dredging\\_and\\_Lampreys\\_03.19.21.pdf](https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Dredging_and_Lampreys_03.19.21.pdf).
- LTWG (Lamprey Technical Workgroup). 2020a. Barriers to adult Pacific Lamprey at road crossings: guidelines for evaluating and providing passage. Original Version 1.0, June 29, 2020. 31 pp + Appendices. Available online: [https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/LTW\\_2020\\_LampreyPassage@RDXings\\_Final\\_062920.pdf](https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/LTW_2020_LampreyPassage@RDXings_Final_062920.pdf).
- LTWG (Lamprey Technical Workgroup). 2020b. Best management guidelines for native lampreys during in-water work. Original Version 1.0, May 4, 2020. 26 pp. + Appendices. Available online: [https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BMGs-for-Native-Lampreys-in-In-Water-Work\\_2020.04.30.pdf](https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BMGs-for-Native-Lampreys-in-In-Water-Work_2020.04.30.pdf).
- LTWG (Lamprey Technical Workgroup). 2017. Practical guidelines for incorporating adult Pacific Lamprey passage at fishways. June 2017. 47 pp + Appendix. Available online: [https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Guidelines-for-Lamprey-Passage-at-Fishways\\_2017.06.20.pdf](https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Guidelines-for-Lamprey-Passage-at-Fishways_2017.06.20.pdf).
- Lawrence, D.J., B. Stewart-Koster, J.D. Olden, A.S. Ruesch, C.E. Torgersen, J.J. Lawler, and J.K. Crown . 2014. The interactive effects of climate change, riparian management, and a nonnative predator on stream-rearing salmon. *Ecological Applications* 24(4), 895-912.



- LCFRB (Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board). 2010. Washington Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan. LCFRB, Longview, WA.
- Liedtke, T. L., L. K. Weiland, J. J. Skalicky, and A. E. Gray. 2020. Evaluating dewatering approaches to protect larval Pacific lamprey. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2020-1026, 32 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20201026>.
- Liedtke, T. L., L. K. Weiland, M. G. Mesa. 2015. Vulnerability of larval lamprey to Columbia River hydropower system operations – Effects of dewatering on larval lamprey movement and survival. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2015-1157, 28 p., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151157>.
- Madenjian, C. P., J. R. Unrein, and S. Pedro. 2020. Trends and biological effects of environmental contaminants in lamprey. Journal of Great Lakes Research 47 (2021) S112-S128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Jglr.2020.08.014>.
- Maitland, P.S., C.S. Renaud, B.R. Quintella, D.A. Close. Conservation of native lampreys. In: Docker M.F. editor. Lampreys: biology, conservation and control, fish & fisheries series 37. Dordrecht: Springer; 2015. p. 376–428.
- McGrath K.E., E.M. Dawley, and D.R. Geist. 2006. Total Dissolved Gas Effects of Fishes of the Lower Columbia River. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory final report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830, Portland, Oregon.
- Merz, M., J. Palmer, and A. Clayton. 2020. Technical Memorandum: Characterization of Columbia River Temperature Variability. Environmental Protection Agency. March 24, 2020. 26 pp. Available Online: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-12/documents/columbia-river-cwr-plan-appendix-1.pdf>.
- Mesa, M. G., J. M. Bayer, and J. G. Seelye. 2003. Swimming performance and physiological responses to exhaustive exercise in radio-tagged and untagged Pacific lampreys. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 132:483–492.
- Moser, M. L., P. A. Ocker, L. C. Stuehrenberg, and T. C. Bjornn. 2002. Passage efficiency of adult Pacific lampreys at hydropower dams on the lower Columbia River, U.S.A. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 131: 956–965.
- Mueller, R.P., C.L. Rakowski, W.A. Perkins, and M.C. Richmond. 2015. Assessment of fluctuating reservoir elevations using hydraulic models and impacts on larval Pacific Lamprey rearing habitat in the Bonneville Pool. PNNL-23876, final report submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland, Oregon, by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Naik, P.K., and D.A. Jay. 2011. Distinguishing human and climate influences on the Columbia River: Changes in mean flow and sediment transport. Journal of Hydrology 404: 259-277.
- Nilsen, E.B., W.B. Hapke, B. McIlraith, and D. Markovchick. 2015. Reconnaissance of contaminants in larval Pacific lamprey (*Entosphenus tridentatus*) tissues and habitats in the Columbia River Basin, Oregon and Washington, USA. Environmental Pollution 201, 121-130.

- Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative, Pacific Marine and Estuarine Fish Habitat Partnership, and California Fish Passage Forum. 2021. Barriers to Tidal Connectivity for Native Lamprey Species. December 2021. 26 pp + Appendix. Available online: [https://maps.psmfc.org/media/BTC/Documents/Report\\_Lamprey\\_Barriers\\_Tidal\\_Connectivity\\_December\\_2021.pdf](https://maps.psmfc.org/media/BTC/Documents/Report_Lamprey_Barriers_Tidal_Connectivity_December_2021.pdf).
- Queen, L. E., P. W. Mote, D. E. Rupp, O. Chegwiddden, and B. Nijssen. 2021. Ubiquitous increases in flood magnitude in the Columbia River basin under climate change. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 25, 257-272, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-257-2021>.
- Schneider, M.L., and K. Barko. 2006. Total Dissolved Gas Characterization of the Lower Columbia River below Bonneville Dam. Draft report to US Army Engineer District (Portland, OR) by US Army Corps of Engineers, Dallesport, WA.
- Stillwater Sciences. 2014. Evaluation of barriers to Pacific Lamprey migration in the Eel River basin. Prepared by Stillwater Sciences, Arcata, California for Wiyot Tribe, Loleta, CA.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2018. Pacific Lamprey *Entosphenus tridentatus* assessment. February 1, 2019. USFWS, Washington D.C.

## IV. APPENDIX

### Appendix A. 2023 RMU Meeting Notes

#### Annual Pacific Lamprey Meeting for the Lower Columbia RMU

May 15, 2023

Co-chairs: Jen Poirier (USFWS) and Ann Gray (USFWS)

#### Virtual meeting attendees:

**Cascade Forest Conservancy:** Amanda Keasberry

**Cowlitz Tribe:** Dalton Fry

**CRITFC:** Greg Silver

**Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board:** Steve West

**NPS, Lewis and Clark National Historical Park:** Kayla Fermin

**ODFW:** Ben Clemens (Corvallis), Derek Wiley (Tillamook)

**USFWS:** Ann Gray, Jen Poirier

**USGS:** Marty Liedtke

**WDFW:** Monica Blanchard, Danny Didricksen, Laura Heironimus, Claire Landry, Erin Peterson, Shaffryn Schade

#### 2023 Events & PLCI updates

- **Lamprey Information Exchange Webinar Series**
  - 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Lamprey Information Exchange – early December 2023 (in person).
  - If you are interested in joining our Info Exchange planning group, please reach out to Jen Poirier.
  - More details to come.
  - Recordings of past webinars: [www.gotostage.com/channel/plci](http://www.gotostage.com/channel/plci)
- **New PLCI Coordinator**
  - Max Calloway started May 1<sup>st</sup>
  - Contact Max at [MCalloway@pacificlamprey.org](mailto:MCalloway@pacificlamprey.org)
- **Lamprey Technical Workgroup**
  - Technical advisory committee of PLCI.
  - Multiple subgroups studying a range of topics
  - Participation in the LTWG and its subgroups is open to all lamprey enthusiasts!
  - Contact the LTWG Chair, Christina Wang (USFWS) for more information ([Christina.Wang@fws.gov](mailto:Christina.Wang@fws.gov)).

- **Recent LTWG Publications**

- Many of the Lamprey Technical Workgroup publications are living documents that will be updated as more/better information becomes available.
- *Comparison of Pacific Lamprey and Pacific Salmon Life Histories, Habitat and Ecology* (LTWG; 2023)
- *Review of Factors Affecting Larval and Juvenile Lamprey Entrainment and Impingement at Fish Screen Facilities* (LTWG; 2022)
- *Practical Guidelines for Incorporating Adult Pacific Lamprey Passage at Fishways, Version 2.0* (LTWG; 2022)
- *Barriers to Adult Pacific Lamprey at Road Crossings: Guidelines for Evaluating and Providing Passage* (LTWG; 2020)
- *Best Management Guidelines for Native Lampreys During In-water Work* (LTWG; updated 2022)
- *Overview of eDNA and Applications for Research and Monitoring of Lampreys* (LTWG; 2021)
- *Monitoring and Minimizing Effects of Dredging on Lampreys* (LTWG; 2021)
- Links to reports above can be found at <https://www.pacificlamprey.org/ltwg/>

- **Other recent lamprey publications?**

- **Any new publications you would like to share with the RMU?**
- Ben Clemens shared his paper on warmwater effects on lampreys: <https://meridian.allenpress.com/jfw/article/13/2/591/483660/Warmwater-Temperatures-20-C-as-a-Threat-to-Pacific>
- Ben also shared - Backpack Electrofishing can be used to Collect Adult Lamprey: <https://afspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/nafm.10900>

## **2022 Pacific Lamprey Assessment**

- One of the primary tasks of RMU is providing information for the Pacific Lamprey Assessment.
- Every 5 years we collect information on Pacific Lamprey distribution, abundance, population trends and local threats to characterize the conservation risk of PCL across their range.
- Most recent revision completed in 2022.
- 2022 Assessment summary report will be available on the Pacific Lamprey webpage in late summer 2023.
- 2012 & 2017 Pacific Lamprey Assessments are available online at [www.pacificlamprey.org](http://www.pacificlamprey.org).

## **Regional Implementation Plans (RIPs)**

- A second important task of the RMU group is to develop and revise RIPs.
- The RIPs summarize the status, distribution, and local threats to lamprey in the RMU and highlight completed and ongoing conservation measures.
- RIPs for each of the RMUs are available online: <https://www.pacificlamprey.org/rmu/>

## **New Pacific Lamprey (or other native lamprey) distribution?**

- Please report any NEW Pacific Lamprey distribution or eDNA data to our GIS/distribution database manager David Hines ([David\\_Hines@fws.gov](mailto:David_Hines@fws.gov)).
- See Databasin.org (Pacific Lamprey known observations & distribution) for current lamprey distribution information.
- If you collect information on other native lamprey species (e.g., *Lampetra*), please send that information to David Hines as well. Please include GPS coordinates of your sample locations if possible.

## **Lamprey Funding Opportunities**

- The Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative has two dedicated funding sources for lamprey projects
  1. Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) PLCI Columbia River Basin Projects
  2. National Fish Habitat Partnership (NFHP) project funding
    - Two separate requests for proposals (RFPs) will be issued for these funding sources.
    - The criteria, guidelines and proposal templates pertaining to each funding opportunity can be found on the PLCI webpage - <https://www.pacificlamprey.org/funding/>.
    - BPA RFP will be out in late September/early October 2023. Funding is available to projects within the Columbia River Basin. Total funding ≈300K
    - NFHP RFP will be out in January 2024. Funding is available to projects in any RMU. Total funding ranges from \$150-250K.

## **RMU partner updates**

### **Amanda Keasberry, Cascade Forest Conservancy:**

- Cascade Forest Conservancy (<https://www.cascadeforest.org/>) works locally in Southwest Washington, primarily in the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, but they are also expanding to work on some on private lands. Part of what they do is beaver re-introductions in appropriate areas. Much of what they do in the field is accomplished by community volunteers.
- CFC received BPA PLCI funds for a combined beaver reintroduction project on the Wind River and a Beaver Dam Analog (BDA) project on the SF Toutle River. Both were completed at the end of 2022.
- Only 2 beavers were released in a tributary of the Wind River and a follow-up evaluation to assess whether the reintroduction was successful has not yet been completed.

- As part of the beaver reintroduction project, the CFC conducted eDNA sampling in the Wind River and Panther Creek. They had a positive detection for Pacific lamprey ~6 miles above the most upstream documentation of Pacific lamprey distribution.
- The CFC planned to install several BDAs on Stump Creek, a tributary of the South Fork Toutle River. However, they were not able install traditional BDAs with posts because stream channel substrate limited getting posts into ground. Instead, 10 small “post-less” BDAs were installed, using conifer release and alder trees. The hope was to create off channel habitat and potential depositional areas that would be good habitat for larval lamprey. The CFC looked at the sites last week and they look great! Stream flow is slower and the structures have created some good Type 1 habitat.
  - eDNA samples collected in Stump Creek were negative for Pacific lamprey, but the small wood restoration looks great for creating depositional areas and could support lamprey in the future.
  - CFC has received additional funding from the Salmon Recovery Funding Board to do some additional restoration work upstream in Stump Creek.

#### **Marty Liedtke, USGS:**

- Laboratory study in collaboration with several Lamprey Technical Workgroup members is in the design phase. “Defining sediment handling practices to limit negative impacts to larval lampreys” was recently funded via BPA PLCI funding to look at effects of disposal of sediments from dredging and other sediment moving activities on larval lamprey.
- The goal of this research is to determine what depths of disposed sediment (on top of existing burrowed larvae) cause mortality for different size classes of larvae. Study findings will be used to develop and distribute guidance for sediment handling practices to limit negative impacts to larval lampreys in an updated Best Management Guidelines (BMGs) for in-water work and expand on information in the LTWG guidance on dredging.

#### **Laura Heironimus, WDFW:**

- WDFW submitted a budget request to fund research on “underloved species” which is focused on looking at a wide variety of species and biodiversity. The original funding request was for ~\$45 million from the state and was initially funded for \$8 Million during year 1. There is a phase 2 of this request that includes expanded eDNA funding and an additional WDFW lamprey position- so stay tuned!

#### **Ann Gray, USFWS:**

- The Adult Passage and Engineering subgroup is pulling together any case studies pertaining to trap & haul and translocation. This will be used to develop some guidelines for future trap & haul programs. The subgroup will work on this document over the next year. If you know of any case studies, let Ann know!
- The USACE will be releasing its Draft EIS for the Lower Columbia River Channel Maintenance Plan (aka Dredge Materials Maintenance Plan) in August 2023 for a 90- day public review. The Corps is preparing a new 20-year DMMP to address sediment management for the continued dredging operations and maintenance of the LCR FNC. The Corps needs to develop a new plan to ensure there is sufficient storage/disposal capacity for maintenance of the Federal Navigation Channel over the next 20 years. For more information on the project action, please see <https://www.nwp.usace.army.mil/lcrchannelmaintenance/> . USFWS is drafting a Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report for this project that will be included in the USACE’s draft EIS as an appendix.



**Joe Skalicky, USFWS:**

- The USACE will be raising the sediment retention dam on the NF Toutle River and redoing the fish collection facility and would like to include passage for Pacific Lamprey. The USFWS is working with WDFW and the USACE to facilitate adult passage over 2 dams. On May 15th, they conducted a drone flight of the area with WDFW.
- The USFWS will be assessing passage at some new fishway/passage structures in SW Washington. We did not formerly know about these sites as they are not associated with hatcheries. Most of these sites were former falls that were blasted to facilitate salmon passage and/or amended with a traditional fishway. Possible these sites may limit Pacific Lamprey Passage. There are 11 sites to assess.

**Kayla Fermin, NPS Lewis and Clark National Historical Park (L&CNHP):**

- L&CNHP has been working on a large eDNA inventory with other NPS across the region. This is year 2 of a 3 year study. L&CNHP staff have sampled all flowing waterbodies on its lands and provided samples to the USGS eDNA lab in Boise, ID for analysis. This year L&CNHP will be collecting samples in ponds and lakes. A draft analysis of all eDNA samples will be provided next year and will help supplement information on current distribution of Pacific Lamprey in the lower Columbia River area.

**Ben Clemens, ODFW:**

- Ben is collaborating with Kellie Carim (USFS) to re-analyze existing eDNA samples and acquire new samples to assay for Western Brook Lamprey eDNA. The goal is to improve understanding of their distribution throughout Oregon. Ben is interested in identifying and working with partners to acquire the new water samples.  
(Benjamin.J.CLEMENS@odfw.oregon.gov; 541-757-5113).

**Monica Blanchard, WDFW:**

- Future topic for next year: the Lower Columbia Estuary Partnership will be conducting large-scale restoration at the Ridgefield pits on the East Fork Lewis River. This year they are completing scoping of the area to determine what level of effort will be needed for salvage. If you are interested in keeping informed on this project or assisting with the large salvage effort next year, please let Monica know ([monica.blanchard@dfw.wa.gov](mailto:monica.blanchard@dfw.wa.gov)).
- Ben added - for folks thinking about salvage and needing information on electrofishing techniques, the Lamprey Technical Workgroup put together this paper:  
<https://www.pacificlamprey.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/BMGs-for-Native-Lampres-During-In-Water-Work-Final-Updated-2022-2.pdf>

**Danny Didricksen, WDFW:**

- Danny is working with USGS (Marty Liedtke, Lisa Weiland) and USFWS (Joe Skalicky) on a study evaluating the effectiveness of standard, small diversion pump screens for the protection of lamprey (25-120 mm long lampreys were tested). A report will be out near the end of this summer. Early results show that the standard screens that were designed to protect fish are good, whereas strainers, flip valves and open ended (unscreened) pipes were not effective to protect lampreys.

**Greg Silver, CRITFC:**

- Jon Hess (CRITFC geneticist) has a manuscript under review for inclusion in the AFS Northwest Fisheries Management Special Edition. This manuscript is an update to his 2022 paper on translocation and genetic information in the Columbia River Basin. **Marty Liedtke**

further explained that this special edition came out of the lamprey session held at the 2022 AFS Conference in Spokane, Washington. This special publication, due out late summer 2023, will include ~15 lamprey specific papers covering a broad range of topics, including eDNA, translocation, restoration outcomes, electrofishing, etc.

- CRITFC staff currently largely occupied with the preparation for translocation of adult lamprey collected at John Day, The Dalles and Bonneville Dams.

## **Appendix B. NatureServe Conservation Status Rank Definitions**

***SX Presumed Extirpated.***—Species or ecosystem is believed to be extirpated from the jurisdiction (i.e., nation, or state/province). Not located despite intensive searches of historical sites and other appropriate habitat, and virtually no likelihood that it will be rediscovered. (= “Regionally Extinct” in IUCN Red List terminology).

***SH Possibly Extirpated.***—Known from only historical records but still some hope of rediscovery. There is evidence that the species or ecosystem may no longer be present in the jurisdiction, but not enough to state this with certainty. Examples of such evidence include: (1) that a species has not been documented in approximately 20–40 years despite some searching or some evidence of significant habitat loss or degradation; or (2) that a species or ecosystem has been searched for unsuccessfully, but not thoroughly enough to presume that it is no longer present in the jurisdiction.

***SU Unrankable.*** .—Currently unrankable due to lack of information or due to substantially conflicting information about status or trends.

***S1 Critically Imperiled.***—Critically imperiled in the jurisdiction because of extreme rarity or because of some factor(s) such as very steep declines making it especially vulnerable to extirpation from the jurisdiction.

***S2 Imperiled.***—Imperiled in the jurisdiction because of rarity due to very restricted range, very few occurrences, steep declines, or other factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation from the jurisdiction.

***S3 Vulnerable.***—Vulnerable in the jurisdiction due to a restricted range, relatively few occurrences, recent and widespread declines, or other factors making it vulnerable to extirpation.

***S4 Apparently Secure.***—Uncommon but not rare; some cause for long-term concern due to declines or other factors.

***S5 Secure.***—Common, widespread, and abundant in the jurisdiction.